

__

28 /

I

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

On April 15, 2008, a federal grand jury in the Southern District of California returned a one-count Indictment against Defendant Felix Hernandez-Chavez ("Defendant Hernandez"). The Indictment charges Defendant Hernandez with one count of possessing approximately 86.75 kilograms (191.25 pounds) of marijuana with intent to distribute, in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1). On April 15, 2008, Defendant Hernandez was arraigned on the Indictment and entered a plea of not guilty. On June 4, 2008, a federal grand jury in the Southern District of California returned a two-count Superseding Indictment against Defendants Hernandez, Hugo Montes-Martinez ("Defendant Montes"), Modesta Luisa Magana ("Defendant Magana"), and Juan Jesus Limon ("Defendant Limon). Count One of the Superseding Indictment charges Defendants Hernandez and Montes with possessing approximately 86.75 kilograms (191.25 pounds) of marijuana with intent to distribute, in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1). Count Two of the Superseding Indictment charges Defendants Montes, Magana, and Limon with possessing 50 kilograms or more, to wit, approximately 105.40 kilograms (231.89 pounds) of marijuana, with intent to distribute, in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1).

On June 24, 2008, Defendants Montes and Limon pled guilty to Superseding Informations. On July 15, 2008, Defendant Hernandez filed motions to compel discovery, preserve evidence, sever remaining defendants, and grant leave to file further motions. The United States files the following response in opposition to these motions, and incorporates by reference the Statement of Facts and Response in Opposition to other defendants' motions, which was filed with the Court on June 18, 2008.

II

STATEMENT OF FACTS

The United States hereby incorporates by reference the Statement of Facts contained in its previously filed Response in Opposition on June 18, 2008.

//

III

THE UNITED STATES' RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTIONS ALONG WITH MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

A. THE COURT SHOULD NOT ISSUE ANY DISCOVERY ORDERS

As of the date of this Motion, the United States has produced to each Defendant approximately 338 pages of discovery (including reports of the arresting officers and agents, a criminal history report, photographs of the car and marijuana seized), reports containing summaries of Defendants' post-arrest statements, and 1 CD-rom containing the recorded dispatch transmissions on May 8, 2008. The United States will continue to comply with its obligations under Brady v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83 (1963), the Jenks Act (18 U.S.C. §3500 et seq.), and Rule 16 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure ("Fed. R. Crim. P."). At this point the United States has received **no** reciprocal discovery. In view of the below-stated position of the United States concerning discovery, the United States respectfully requests the Court issue no orders compelling specific discovery by the United States at this time. With respect to Defendant Hernandez's specific requests for discovery, the United States incorporates by reference its Response in Opposition filed on June 18, 2008, which covers each of Defendant's specific requests.

B. THE UNITED STATES DOES NOT OPPOSE SEVERANCE OF COUNTS

The United States maintains the position that it properly joined Defendants and the counts pursuant to the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure when it obtained the Superseding Indictment on June 4, 2008. In light of the fact that Defendants Montes and Limon pled guilty on June 24, 2008, the United States now takes the position that it does not oppose the Court severing Counts 1 and 2 in the Superseding Indictment, as long as the Court grants Defendants' motion without prejudice.

C. THE COURT MAY GRANT LEAVE TO FILE FURTHER MOTIONS

The United States does not oppose Defendants' request to file further motions if they are based on new discovery or other information not available to Defendant at the time of this motion hearing.

08CR1166-W

	Case 3:08-cr-01166-W Documer	nt 45 Filed 07/22/2008	Page 4 of 5
1		IV	
2	<u>CONCLUSION</u>		
3	For the foregoing reasons, the United States requests the Court deny Defendant's Motions to		
4	Compel Discovery and Preserve Evidence, Sever Remaining Defendants, and Grant Leave to File		
5	Further Motions, unless unopposed.		
6	DATED: July 22, 2008		
7		Respectfully su	bmitted,
8	KAREN P. HEWITT United States Attorney		
9		/s/ Joseph J.M. Orabona JOSEPH J.M. ORABONA Assistant United States Attorney	
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
		4	08CR1166-W

Document 45 Filed 07/22/2008

Page 5 of 5

Case 3:08-cr-01166-W